

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,332	08/13/2001	Katsumi Suzuki	0649-0800P	8577	
2292	7590 10/09/2002				
	WART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747	MULLIS, JEFFREY C			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 10/00/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_			53				
		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)	E			
		09/913,332	SU	IZUKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	17	11				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corre	spondence add	ress			
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire t, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely fi imum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the m b become ABANDONED (35	led be considered timely. nailing date of this cor 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	<u> August 2001</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
.—	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is			
·	n of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			•				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers	or election require	ment.					
9)∏ TI	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)∐ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examin	er.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□ T	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approve	ed b) disapproved	by the Examine	r.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office ac	tion.					
12)∐ TI	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🗌 🛭 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) <u></u>	All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	. \square Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.					
2	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application I	No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	I7.2(a)).	this National S	Stage			
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest			n a provisional	application)			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language processions. Chrowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional applicati	on has been receive	ed.	apphounory.			
Attachment(s		o priority under 3	5 5.5.5. 33 120 and	urui 121.				
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:					
J.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		ction Summary		Part of	Paper No. 4			

Serial No. 09/913,332

Art Unit 1711

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

The term "molecular weight" is unclear and unqualified as to the type of molecular weight, i.e. number or weight average etc. molecular weights since the various measures of molecular weights may vary considerably.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial No. 09/913,332
Art Unit 1711

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Junji et al. (JP 06-271717.

Junji et al. disclose a composition containing polypropylene and polystyrene in a 70/30 or 30/70 weight ratio in combination with a styrene/butadiene/styrene hydrogenated block copolymer at a level of 10 or 30 parts per 100 parts of the composition. Note Table 1 on page 5 of the Japanese patent. Note that Runs 6-8 contain 50% vinyl content in the diene block. Since applicants' materials are all present in the composition in applicants' proportions, it would reasonably appear that applicants' characteristic that component C exists at the inner phase between the phase of components A and B would be inherent.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In refitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shinetsuro et al. (JP 06-192502).

Serial No. 09/913,332 Art Unit 1711

Note that applicants' International Search Report indicates that Japanese Patent 06-192502 is in the "X" category for claims 1-6 and 8. Therefore Shinetsuro appears to anticipate the claims and applicants' characteristic appears to be reasonably inherent.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In refitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kawamura et al. (JP 4-45140).

Kawamura et al., cited on applicants' International Search
Report is indicated to be in the "X" category and therefore
anticipates the claims. It is assumed that applicants'
characteristic is not explicitly disclosed but that (based on the
search report) all other features appear to be present and
therefore that applicants' characteristics are inherent.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis



Serial No. 09/913,332

Art Unit 1711

exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In re</u> <u>Fitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820.

J. Mullis:cdc

September 5, 2002

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711